

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/517,987	03/03/00	HUANG		K	94-0-09602	SK
_	_		٦	EXAMINER		
Lisa K. Jor STMicroeled	gensen Esq Stronics Inc	MMC2/1031		EDOTH ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Electronic Carrollton	· ·			2812 Date Mailed	: 10/31/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.	pplicant(s)		
09/517,987	HUANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard A. Booth	2812		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

c E	ondition xamir	on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Nequest for Schullage and the filed Nequest for Schullage and the filed Nequest for Schullage and Schul
		PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	b) 🗵	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fe () t	ee have ee unde 2) as se imely fil	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or left of the form of the final rejection, even if the form of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	2 🔯	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	/1-	> \ \ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
	(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
	(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	•	NOTE:
	3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
		Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
	7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
l		The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
١		Claim(s) allowed:
		Claim(s) objected to:
		Claim(s) rejected: 77-86.
		Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
١	8.[_	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	9.	Provide the formation Displacure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
	10.	_
	-	Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner
	I	Art Unit: 2812

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner believes that there is no difference structurally between the limitations described in the claims and those features shown in Pierce (of course, Doan is relied upon to show the structure of the field oxide). Applicant is invited to show how they differ structurally..

RICHARD BOOTH PRIMARY EXAMINER